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BACKGROUND: GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE IN BRAZIL

• IPV - WHO multicentre study: Sao Paulo and Pernambuco – women reported at least once in their life psychological (42% and 49%), physical (27% and 34%) and sexual (10% and 14%) violence (Garcia-Moreno *et al*, 2006; Schraiber *et al*, 2007).

• 5th highest rate of femicides in the world: 4.8/100,000 women (Waizelfisz, 2015)

• Highest rate of LGBT homicides (Brazilian Secretary of Human Rights, 2014)

LEARNING FROM INTERNATIONAL INTERVENTIONS

• Women’s Empowerment (Dutton, 1992)
• Online-based decision and safety planning aid

idecide.org.au
(Tarzia et al, 2015; Hegarty et al, 2015; 2019)

isafe.aut.ac.nz
(Koziol-McLain et al, 2018)

myplanapp.org
IRIS (Glass et al, 2010; Eden et al, 2016)

icanplan4safety.ca
(Ford-Gilboe et al, 2017)
AIMS

• To develop a feasibility study of an online-based decision and safety planning aid for Brazilian women living with domestic violence

  • To help Brazilian women recognize abuse and how they can keep themselves safe in recurrent situations of violence

  • To discuss and develop with women and professionals a tailored version for the Brazilian context

  • Final aims: reducing conflict and supporting the decision making process regarding an abusive relationship
METHODOLOGY

1) In-depth study of similar platforms to design a research protocol

2) Principles of participatory-action research (Liamputtong, 2013) based on qualitative approach (Minayo, 2006)

3) Place of study: ‘House of the Brazilian Woman of Curitiba’ (CMBC)
The House integrates the intersectoral network in just one place (working 24/7):

- Psycho-social support
- Health support
- Specialized police station
- ‘Maria da Penha Patrol’
- Court
- Public Prosecutor’s Office
- Public Defender’s Office
- Temporary shelter
- Toy library
- Financial autonomy workshops
METHODOLOGY

1) In-depth study of similar platforms to design a research protocol

2) Principles of participatory-action research (Liamputtong, 2013) based on qualitative approach (Minayo, 2006)

3) Place of study: ‘House of the Brazilian Woman of Curitiba’ (CMBC)

4) Period: from Jan/2018 to present

5) In-depth semi-structured interviews with professionals and survivors (n = 28)

6) Data were recorded, transcribed, coded and tabulated

7) Content Analysis (Bardin, 1995)

8) Approved in 2 Ethics Committees: Federal University of Parana and City of Curitiba (following national and international guidelines)
TRANSLATION AND CULTURAL ADAPTATION SUBSTUDY

COMPOSITE ABUSE SCALE (CAS) – BRAZILIAN PORTUGUESE

7. We would like to know if you experienced any of the actions listed below and how often it happened during the past twelve months. If you were not with a partner in the past twelve months, could you please answer for the last partner that you had. Please tick the appropriate box, which matches the frequency, over a twelve month period, that it happened to you. (Please tick one box on each line)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>Only Once</th>
<th>Several Times</th>
<th>Once/ Month</th>
<th>Once/ Week</th>
<th>Daily</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Told me that I wasn’t good enough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kept me from medical care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Followed me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tried to turn my family, friends or children against me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locked me in the bedroom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slapped me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raped me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Told me that I was ugly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tried to keep me from seeing or talking to my family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threw me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS – THE MAJORITY CONSIDERED FEASIBLE

1) the potential to help women recognize themselves as living in an abusive relationship

2) the agility, safety and anonymity, especially for women who do not wish to be exposed and fail to look for specialized services in person

3) supporting women as ‘a way of disclosing and making the decision to denounce the aggressor,’(sic) helping them take the first step towards formal denunciations

4) a didactic tool, guiding the ‘step by step for women to organize and be encouraged to make the denunciation, informing the appropriate places’ (sic)

5) fostering the dissemination of information about violence and the possibility of connecting with the intersectoral care services, facilitating women’s life

6) supporting also professionals in the area
RESULTS – 3 PARTICIPANTS CONSIDERED NOT FEASIBLE

1) safety, since it could be a risk if the woman is caught by the aggressor using it
2) the need for adequate training of those who will receive the denunciation, if the app is interconnected to face-to-face services from the network
3) not being in front of another person could make taking actions difficult
4) many women do not have access to the internet

LACK OF CLARITY (MISTAKE WITH THE PANIC BUTTON):

“Yes, but it would have to work, I haven't seen or heard about an app that prevents violence. If the victim is on a street and sees her attacker approaching, she will trigger the app, when help arrives she has already been killed or raped by her attacker.” (Participant, survivor user of the CMBC)
RESULTS – SUGGESTIONS:

1) the application should be discreet, as simple as possible and easily accessible, with step-by-step instructions and self-explanatory approaches

2) a click to connect the application with the protection network and phones of relatives for emergency support

3) designing security and discretion with regard to the aggressor, since many monitor the cell phones of women (I-BELIEVE or EU-DECIDO instead of I-LOVE)

‘Make the application available in schools, libraries, hospitals, squares, in buses, public places, for low-income women, they also have the right to use it.’ (Participant, professional of the CMBC)

‘It must have a list of existing services according to her place, with phone number and address. It should also include the types of violence, so she can perceive herself in a situation of violation of rights.’ (Participant, professional of the CMBC)
FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

• Feasible, but needs to be tailored for the Brazilian context
• Connecting to face-to-face services
• Considering concerns, challenges and suggestions
• Pilot version to be developed in Curitiba

WHAT´S NEXT? Submitted to the World Bank SVRI 2020 Grant
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