The Indashyikirwa couples curriculum to prevent IPV and support healthy, equitable relationships in Rwanda

September 21 2017
Dr. Erin Stern

Funded by
Couples Curriculum

- 20 weekly trainings with 15 couples, male and female facilitator
- Pre-tested over 1 month
- Foster change in knowledge, attitudes, skills, behaviors:
  - Power imbalance as root cause of IPV
  - Manage triggers of IPV
  - Positive alternatives to IPV
Research with Couples

- Across 3 intervention sites, IDIs with 5 couples
  - 1) November 2015 (before curriculum)
  - 2) May 2016 (immediately after curriculum)
  - 3) May 2017 (1 year post midline)
- Interviewed separately by male and female interviewers
- Expectations/impressions of curriculum; decision-making, gender roles, communication, conflict resolution; experiences of conflict/violence
- IDIs with 9 RWAMREC staff 1) May 2016 2) May 2017 on working with couples
- Thematic analysis using NVIVO (completed), secondary analysis assessing what each partner said and how differs over time (ongoing)
Couples Appreciation of Curriculum Content

- Relevant content, foundation of balancing power
- Learning forms, impact, how to manage triggers of IPV
- Skills building; communication, conflict resolution
- Spending positive time together; identified gap
- Women liked session valuing domestic responsibilities

98% retention rate of 840 couples trained
Lessons Learned from Working with Couples

- Rare to be trained as couples for length of time
  - Supported equal participation
  - Developed trust with facilitators and among couples
- Participatory approach, safe space
- Take home exercises; trying something new!
- Male and female facilitator (lesson from pre-test)
Curriculum Areas Initially Resisted or Difficult

- Men reducing alcohol or supporting domestic duties due to stigma
- Equal sexual consent/initiation with marriage
- Sharing of financial decisions & resources (cultural norms)
- Couples reported changes became easier through:
  - Witnessing benefits through take home activities
  - Drawing on support from other couples
  - Learning laws, policies support these changes
Relationship Dynamics and Changes

- Value of partnership model
- Couples increasingly communicative
- Couples spend more quality time together
- Some couples legally married to secure women’s rights
- Solve conflicts more constructively
- Reported reduced levels of all forms of IPV
Value of Collecting/Analyzing Longitudinal Data from Couples

- Ability to triangulate male/female perspectives from couple
- Different motivations for joining the curriculum (women more likely for IPV/conflict; men more likely for stipend/training)
- Men more likely justified/downplayed IPV in comparison to women at baseline
- Longitudinal allows rapport; couples more open at endline about IPV (reported as in the past)
- Partners reported similar significant areas of change
What She Said......He Said

“Sometimes we argued about doing something and would end up not doing it. Each one had his/her own way of understanding. After receiving the training, they gave us advice and told us each one should share his or her ideas and you are able to do much more together.”

(Female Partner, Eastern Province, Endline)

“At first I thought, let me go to get the compensation, but I realized the compensation was less important than what we were learning, because you can finish the compensation before you arrive home but if the project stops, what we were learning changed us and will enable us to build our families.”

(Male Partner, Eastern Province, Endline)
Supporting others with IPV

- Some trained couples supported other individuals with IPV
- Learned to actively listen, provide empowering responses
- Learned to safely intervene in violence/conflict
- Encouraged to exemplify learnings in community
- 25% of couples completed subsequent activism training due to intervention structuring
we were considered to be very troubled but now people come to us for solutions.
In Summary

- Valuable insights from both partners of couples and staff for evaluating relationship processes of change
- Rich understanding from comparing both partners reports over time; impact of training
- Anticipate diffusion effect on wider community (current evaluation assessing this)
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GBV METHODS SHORT COURSE applications open

“Researching Gender-Based Violence: Methods and Meaning”

Who should apply:
Individuals who will conduct or commission research on gender based violence

Location:
Gender Violence & Health Centre, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (London, UK)

Dates:
12-16 February 2018

Details:
https://www.lshtm.ac.uk/study/courses/short-courses/gender-violence