Recent experience of intimate partner violence and non-partner sexual violence among women with disabilities: a pooled analysis of data from 7 studies in 5 countries.
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At least 1 in 3 women experience IPV in their lifetime\(^1\).
An estimated 4 in 5 women and girls with disability globally live in low and middle income countries.

**Women with disabilities are:**
- more likely to be poor, less educated than women without disabilities\(^2\).
- exposed to a wider range of potential perpetrators from a wider range of settings than women without disabilities.
- at risk of disability-specific forms of violence (e.g. verbal or emotional abuse, being physically neglected or economically exploited).
Disability measures

To understand the intersections between disability and violence in LMIC, WW:

- Included the Washington Group\(^3\) Short set of questions on disability in all quantitative impact evaluation of VAWG prevention studies.

- Items assess degree of impairment in up to 6 functional domains:
  - Vision, hearing, mobility, cognition, self care & communication
  - Subsets of items can be used

- Assess degree of impairment on 4 point scale, example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you have difficulty seeing, even if wearing glasses?</td>
<td>1. No - no difficulty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Yes – some difficulty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Yes – a lot of difficulty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Cannot do at all</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^3\) Washington Group Short set of questions on disability
Violence measures

Past year intimate partner violence:
- 5 items used to assess past year physical IPV experience (using the modified WHO scale).
- 3-4 items used to assess past year sexual IPV experience (This not measured in Afghanistan)
- Studies also assess emotional and economic IPV.

Non-partner sexual violence:
- 3-5 items used to assess past year non-partner sexual violence experience.
# Summary of the studies used in the analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program evaluated</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Women’s Age Range</th>
<th>Sampling or recruitment strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sammanit Jeevan</td>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>Recruitment of families</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>18 +</td>
<td>Volunteer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stepping Stones/Creating Futures</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Cluster randomization</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>18-30</td>
<td>Volunteer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Women’s Empowerment Program</td>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>Individual level randomization</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>18-49</td>
<td>Volunteer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indashyikirwa couples</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>Cluster randomization</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>18-49</td>
<td>Volunteer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Response System</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Randomization of 4 districts</td>
<td>1,877</td>
<td>18-49</td>
<td>Household-based survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Starts at Home</td>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>Cluster randomization</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>18-49</td>
<td>Household-based survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indashyikirwa community intervention</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>Cluster randomization</td>
<td>1,399</td>
<td>18-49</td>
<td>Household-based survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall, **half** of the women experienced some form of IPV.

- **1 in 3** women experienced past year Physical IPV.
- **1 in 3** experienced sexual IPV.
- **2 in 5** experienced emotional IPV.
- **2 in 5** experienced sexual and/or physical IPV.
- **1 in 5** experienced both physical and sexual IPV.
Disability prevalence

- 17% of the women had some form of disability [range 5% (Nepal, HH-level study) to 32% (Rwanda HH-level study)].

- 13% of the younger women and 21% of the older women had some form of disability.

❖ Association between disability and woman’s age.

❖ Across all studies, older women have higher disability prevalence.
Overall, women with disabilities have higher prevalence of all forms of IPV. Similar pattern is observed across all the different study settings.

Overall and adjusting for age, women with disability are 2X more likely to experience IPV.
The prevalence of IPV experience was higher among women with more severe disabilities than those with moderate disabilities, for all forms of IPV.

Increase in severity of disability is associated with increase in frequency of IPV experience for all IPV types.
Disability and non-partner sexual violence

Baseline data from the Stepping stone Creating Futures project (South Africa) & the Rural Response System study (Ghana) showed that:

- About **2 in 10** women with severe disabilities experienced non-partner violence.
- **1 in 10** women with moderate disabilities experienced non-partner sexual violence.
- Women with disability are **2 times** more likely to experience non-partner sexual violence.

Prevalence of non-partner sexual violence by disability status:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability Status</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODERATE</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVERE</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key messages

- Across all settings, women with disabilities are most vulnerable to IPV and non-partner sexual violence.

- The prevalence of IPV or non-partner sexual violence is higher among women with more severe disabilities than those with moderate disabilities.

- Considering that women included in these studies were physically and cognitively able to participate in research interviews, it is highly likely that women with most severe disabilities and thus most vulnerable to violence were excluded.
Research and Programme Recommendations

Research and Monitoring Recommendations

- Better definitions and metrics that account for wider diversity of disabilities
- Account for wider range of violence experience, including caregivers
- Look at accessibility, risks and benefits of programme by type & severity of disability
- Account for disability status that changes with context & over time

Programme Recommendations

- IPV prevention and response programmes must be as accessible as possible for women with disabilities.
  - Active partnership with organisations led by and for women with disabilities
  - Involvement of women with disabilities in programme design and leadership
- Programmes for people with disabilities should actively be seen to address risk of violence

WhatWorks
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